

Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Lauby-Secretan, Béatrice, Scoccianti, Chiara, Loomis, Dana, Grosse, Yann, Bianchini, Franca, Straif, Kurt, Colditz, Graham, Anderson, Annie S., Herbert, Ronald A., Kaaks, Rudolf, Thompson, Henry J., Baker, Jennifer L., Breda, João, Byers, Tim, Clearly, Margot P., Di Cesare, Mariachiara ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3934-3364>, Gapstur, Susan M., Gunter, Marc, Hursting, Stephen D., Leitzmann, Michael, Ligibel, Jennifer, Renehan, Andrew, Romieu, Isabelle, Shimokawa, Isao, Ulrich, Cornelia M., Wade, Katlin and Weiderpass, Elisabete (2016) Body fatness and cancer - viewpoint of the IARC working group. New England Journal of Medicine, 375 . pp. 794-798. ISSN 0028-4793 [Article] (doi:10.1056/NEJMSr1606602)

Published version (with publisher's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/20830/>

Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

eprints@mdx.ac.uk

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

SPECIAL REPORT

Body Fatness and Cancer — Viewpoint of the IARC Working Group

Béatrice Lauby-Secretan, Ph.D., Chiara Scoccianti, Ph.D., Dana Loomis, Ph.D., Yann Grosse, Ph.D., Franca Bianchini, Ph.D., and Kurt Straif, M.P.H., M.D., Ph.D., for the International Agency for Research on Cancer Handbook Working Group

In April 2016, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), based in Lyon, France, convened a working group to reassess the preventive effects of weight control on cancer risk. (The members of the working group for volume 16 of the IARC Handbooks are listed at the end of the article; affiliations are provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.) Overweight and obesity are the abnormal or excessive accumulation of body fat that present a risk to health. The body-mass index (BMI, the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) is a good proxy for assessing overall body fatness. Among adults, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 and obesity as a BMI of 30 or more.¹ Obesity can further be divided into class 1 (BMI, 30.0 to 34.9), class 2 (BMI, 35.0 to 39.9), and class 3 (BMI, ≥ 40.0) (Table 1).

Worldwide, an estimated 640 million adults in 2014 (an increase by a factor of 6 since 1975) and 110 million children and adolescents in 2013 (an increase by a factor of 2 since 1980) were obese. The estimated age-standardized prevalence of obesity in 2014 was 10.8% among men, 14.9% among women,² and 5.0% among children,³ and globally more people are overweight or obese than are underweight.

In 2013, an estimated 4.5 million deaths

worldwide were caused by overweight and obesity; on the basis of recent estimates, the obesity-related cancer burden represents up to 9% of the cancer burden among women in North America, Europe, and the Middle East.⁴ Body fatness and weight gain throughout the life course are largely determined by modifiable risk factors, such as excess energy intake (food and drink) and (to a lesser extent) physical inactivity, which are the main drivers of the obesity epidemic. In 2002, the previous IARC working group concluded that there was sufficient evidence for a cancer-preventive effect of avoidance of weight gain for cancers of the colon, esophagus (adenocarcinoma), kidney (renal-cell), breast (postmenopausal), and corpus uteri.⁵

EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDIES

For the current reassessment, most of the more than 1000 epidemiologic studies that we reviewed were observational studies on cancer risk and excess body fatness, because studies, including clinical trials, of weight-loss or weight-control interventions were sparse. Consequently, the evaluations were based on increased risks associated with excess body fatness rather than reduced risks associated with preventive interventions. Most studies provided risk estimates for adult BMI, whereas some provided estimates for BMI or body shape in childhood or adolescence, changes in BMI or weight over time, or other indicators of adiposity, such as waist circumference. When adequate meta-analyses of observational studies were available, we also took relative-risk estimates into account. Most relative risks are provided relative to a BMI of 18.5 to 24.9.

On the basis of these data, we termed the attribute “excess body fatness” and reaffirmed that

Table 1. Definitions of Classes of Overweight and Obesity.

Class	Body-Mass Index
Overweight	25.0–29.9
Obesity	
Class 1	30.0–34.9
Class 2	35.0–39.9
Class 3	≥ 40.0

the absence of excess body fatness lowers the risk of cancer at the organ sites that have been identified previously (Table 2). Furthermore, we identified an additional eight cancers for which there is now also sufficient evidence that the absence of body fatness lowers cancer risk, including cancers of the gastric cardia, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, ovary, and thyroid, as well as multiple myeloma and meningioma. (For detailed information on the evaluation criteria, see the working procedures section of the IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention website at <http://handbooks.iarc.fr/workingprocedures/index1.php>.)

For cancers of the colon, rectum, gastric cardia, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, and kidney and for esophageal adenocarcinoma, significant associations between BMI and cancer risk were reported, with positive dose-response relationships. Relative risks from meta-analyses or pooled analyses were 1.2 to 1.5 for overweight and 1.5 to 1.8 for obesity with respect to cancers of the colon,^{6,7} gastric cardia,⁸ liver,⁹ gallbladder,¹⁰ pancreas,¹¹ and kidney¹²; the relative risk for esophageal adenocarcinoma was up to 4.8 for a BMI of 40 or more.¹³ Results that were based on waist circumference were generally consistent with those reported for BMI. When studies from different geographic regions were available (for cancers of the colon, gastric cardia, and liver, as well as esophageal adenocarcinoma), the results were consistent across regions.¹⁴ Stratification according to sex, when available, generally showed similarly increased risks among men and women. Studies of mendelian randomization (which involve assigning people to groups on the basis of genotypic variation that may be associated with a particular risk factor) allow leveraging the properties of genetic variation to overcome potential limitations present in observational epidemiologic studies. Results from such studies on cancer of the colorectum¹⁵ and esophageal adenocarcinoma¹⁶ were in agreement with those from cohort and case-control studies.

Positive associations have been observed between adult BMI and postmenopausal breast cancer in numerous studies (relative risk, approximately 1.1 per 5 BMI units),⁶ particularly for estrogen-receptor-positive tumors. Waist circumference and body-weight gain in adulthood were also positively associated with the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. For premenopausal breast cancer, consistent inverse associations

have been observed between BMI and risk.⁶ However, data on associations with waist circumference or body-weight gain were inconsistent. These differences remain not fully explained.

The association between BMI and endometrial cancer was particularly pronounced for type 1 endometrial cancer. There was a strong dose-response relationship, with relative risks of approximately 1.5 for overweight, 2.5 for class 1 obesity, 4.5 for class 2 obesity, and 7.1 for class 3 obesity.¹⁷ A modest positive association was observed for epithelial ovarian cancer, with a relative risk of 1.1.¹⁸ Results from studies that used mendelian randomization were consistent with these findings.¹⁹ Among women who had received hormone-replacement therapy, the strength of the association with excess body fatness was reduced for endometrial cancer,²⁰ and no association was observed for ovarian cancer¹⁸ or postmenopausal breast cancer.²¹

For multiple myeloma, the available data showed positive associations with adult BMI, with relative risks of approximately 1.2 for overweight, 1.2 for class 1 obesity, and 1.5 for class 2 or 3 obesity.²² On the basis of several cohort or case-control studies, a positive association was observed between BMI and the risk of meningioma²³ and thyroid cancer.²⁴ In addition to the cancer sites for which there was sufficient evidence, we concluded that there is limited evidence for an association between excess body fatness and fatal prostate cancer,²⁵ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma,²⁶ and male breast cancer.²⁷

We reviewed studies of eight other cancers for which the evidence for an association was considered inadequate, owing to limited data, inconsistent results, or no data suggesting an association: cancers of the lung, esophagus (squamous-cell carcinoma), gastric noncardia, extrahepatic biliary tract, skin (cutaneous melanoma), testis, urinary bladder, and brain or spinal cord (glioma).

In addition, we reviewed data pertaining to BMI in childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood (≤ 25 years of age) to assess whether increased BMI at these ages is linked with cancer in adult life. Positive associations were reported for several cancers also known to be associated with increased adult BMI, with the notable exception of postmenopausal breast cancer. The associations were generally similar to those with adult BMI, despite some differences in magnitude and patterns.

Table 2. Strength of the Evidence for a Cancer-Preventive Effect of the Absence of Excess Body Fatness, According to Cancer Site or Type.*

Cancer Site or Type	Strength of the Evidence in Humans†	Relative Risk of the Highest BMI Category Evaluated versus Normal BMI (95% CI)‡
Esophagus: adenocarcinoma	Sufficient	4.8 (3.0–7.7)
Gastric cardia	Sufficient	1.8 (1.3–2.5)
Colon and rectum	Sufficient	1.3 (1.3–1.4)
Liver	Sufficient	1.8 (1.6–2.1)
Gallbladder	Sufficient	1.3 (1.2–1.4)
Pancreas	Sufficient	1.5 (1.2–1.8)
Breast: postmenopausal	Sufficient	1.1 (1.1–1.2)§
Corpus uteri	Sufficient	7.1 (6.3–8.1)
Ovary	Sufficient	1.1 (1.1–1.2)
Kidney: renal-cell	Sufficient	1.8 (1.7–1.9)
Meningioma	Sufficient	1.5 (1.3–1.8)
Thyroid	Sufficient	1.1 (1.0–1.1)§
Multiple myeloma	Sufficient	1.5 (1.2–2.0)
Male breast cancer	Limited	NA
Fatal prostate cancer	Limited	NA
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	Limited	NA
Esophagus: squamous-cell carcinoma	Inadequate	NA
Gastric noncardia	Inadequate	NA
Extrahepatic biliary tract	Inadequate	NA
Lung	Inadequate	NA
Skin: cutaneous melanoma	Inadequate	NA
Testis	Inadequate	NA
Urinary bladder	Inadequate	NA
Brain or spinal cord: glioma	Inadequate	NA

* BMI denotes body-mass index, CI confidence interval, and NA not applicable.

† Sufficient evidence indicates that the International Agency for Research on Cancer Handbook Working Group considers that a preventive relationship has been established between the intervention (in this case, the absence of excess body fatness) and the risk of cancer in humans — that is, a preventive association has been observed in studies in which chance, bias, and confounding could be ruled out with confidence. Limited evidence indicates that a reduced risk of cancer is associated with the intervention for which a preventive effect is considered credible by the working group, but chance, bias, or confounding could not be ruled out with confidence. Inadequate evidence indicates that the available studies are not of sufficient quality, consistency, or statistical power to permit a conclusion regarding the presence or absence of a cancer-preventive effect of the intervention or that no data on the prevention of cancer by this intervention in humans are available. Additional information on the criteria for classification of the evidence is available at http://handbooks.iarc.fr/docs/Handbook16_Working-Procedures.PrimaryPrevention.pdf.

‡ For cancer sites with sufficient evidence, the relative risk reported in the most recent or comprehensive meta-analysis or pooled analysis is presented. The evaluation in the previous column is based on the entire body of data available at the time of the meeting (April 5–12, 2016) and reviewed by the working group and not solely on the relative risk presented in this column. Normal BMI is defined as 18.5 to 24.9.

§ Shown is the relative risk per 5 BMI units.

We assessed reviews of the association between body fatness on cancer recurrence and survival after diagnosis and noted considerable variation in study design, setting, and timing of body-fatness measurement relative to cancer diagnosis.

There was a large volume of evidence supporting an association between increased BMI near the time of cancer diagnosis and reduced survival in patients with breast cancer, whereas evidence for other cancers was sparse and less consistent. One

intervention trial, in which a low-fat diet intervention led to modest weight loss, resulted in a reduction in breast-cancer recurrence.²⁸

Data on body-weight loss, either from observational studies²¹ or from follow-up of patients undergoing bariatric surgery,²⁹ suggested that intentional weight loss may reduce cancer risk, notably for breast and endometrial cancer. However, the number and quality of these studies were judged to be insufficient for a formal evaluation.

STUDIES IN EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS

Numerous models in experimental animals have been used to study the association between obesity and cancer at various organ sites. Overall, the data showed that obesity in rodents promotes tumorigenesis and increases the age-specific incidence of cancers of the mammary gland, colon, liver, pancreas, prostate (advanced stage), and skin, as well as, to a lesser extent, leukemia.^{30,31}

Similarly, a large number of studies in several rodent models evaluated associations between caloric or dietary restriction, which limits body-weight gain in comparison with controls fed *ad libitum*, and the prevention of tumor development or progression. We concluded that there is sufficient evidence in experimental animals for a cancer-preventive effect of limitation of body-weight gain by caloric or dietary restriction for cancers of the mammary gland, colon, liver, pancreas, skin, and pituitary gland. In addition, an inverse association was observed between caloric or dietary restriction and cancer of the prostate, lymphoma, and leukemia.

MECHANISTIC DATA

We identified which cellular and molecular mechanisms that are known to be altered during carcinogenesis^{32,33} could be causally linked with obesity, and we evaluated the relevance of each mechanism for cancer overall and for specific organ sites when sufficient data were available. Obesity is associated with substantial metabolic and endocrine abnormalities, including alterations in sex hormone metabolism, insulin and insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling, and adipokines or inflammatory pathways.^{34,35} Evidence for a role of sex hormone metabolism and of chronic inflammation in mediating the obesity–cancer relation is strong, and evidence for a

role of insulin and IGF signaling is moderate. In addition, there was convincing evidence that intentional body-weight loss positively affects these mechanisms. The beneficial effects on cancer risk appear to be mediated, at least in part, by regulation of the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis,³⁶ known determinants in carcinogenesis.

EVALUATION AND CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the available data, we concluded that the absence of excess body fatness lowers the risk of most cancers. In addition, a review of studies in experimental animals and mechanistic data suggest a causal cancer-preventive effect of intentional weight loss, although evidence in humans remains to be established.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

Volume 16 of the IARC Handbooks was partially funded by the American Cancer Society and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

From the International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France (B.L.-S., C.S., D.L., Y.G., K.S.); and the German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg (F.B.).

The members of the IARC Handbook Working Group include Graham Colditz, chair; Annie S. Anderson, Ronald A. Herbert, Rudolf Kaaks, and Henry J. Thompson, subgroup chairs; Jennifer L. Baker, João Breda, Tim Byers, Margot P. Cleary, Mariachiara Di Cesare, Susan M. Gapstur, Marc Gunter, Stephen D. Hursting, Michael Leitzmann, Jennifer Ligibel, Andrew Renehan, Isabelle Romieu, Isao Shimokawa, Cornelia M. Ulrich, Kaitlin Wade, and Elisabete Weiderpass.

1. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2000;894:1-253.
2. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: a pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19·2 million participants. *Lancet* 2016;387:1377-96.
3. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014;384:766-81.
4. Arnold M, Leitzmann M, Freisling H, et al. Obesity and cancer: an update of the global impact. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2016;41:8-15.
5. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC handbooks of cancer prevention: weight control and physical activity. Vol. 6. Lyon, France: IARC Press, 2002
6. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet* 2008;371:569-78.
7. Ma Y, Yang Y, Wang F, et al. Obesity and risk of colorectal cancer: a systematic review of prospective studies. *PLoS One* 2013;8(1):e53916.
8. Chen Y, Liu L, Wang X, et al. Body mass index and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of a population with more than ten million from 24 prospective studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013;22:1395-408.

9. Chen Y, Wang X, Wang J, Yan Z, Luo J. Excess body weight and the risk of primary liver cancer: an updated meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Cancer* 2012;48:2137-45.
10. World Cancer Research Fund International. Continuous Update Project: diet, nutrition, physical activity and gallbladder cancer. 2015 (<http://www.wcrf.org/sites/default/files/Gallbladder-Cancer-2015-Report.pdf>).
11. Genkinger JM, Spiegelman D, Anderson KE, et al. A pooled analysis of 14 cohort studies of anthropometric factors and pancreatic cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 2011;129:1708-17.
12. Wang F, Xu Y. Body mass index and risk of renal cell cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis of published cohort studies. *Int J Cancer* 2014;135:1673-86.
13. Hoyo C, Cook MB, Kamangar F, et al. Body mass index in relation to oesophageal and oesophagogastric junction adenocarcinomas: a pooled analysis from the International BEACON Consortium. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012;41:1706-18.
14. Jee SH, Yun JE, Park EJ, et al. Body mass index and cancer risk in Korean men and women. *Int J Cancer* 2008;123:1892-6.
15. Thrift AP, Gong J, Peters U, et al. Mendelian randomization study of body mass index and colorectal cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2015;24:1024-31.
16. Thrift AP, Shaheen NJ, Gammon MD, et al. Obesity and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma and Barrett's esophagus: a Mendelian randomization study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106:dju252.
17. Setiawan VW, Yang HP, Pike MC, et al. Type I and II endometrial cancers: have they different risk factors? *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:2607-18.
18. Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian Cancer. Ovarian cancer and body size: individual participant meta-analysis including 25,157 women with ovarian cancer from 47 epidemiological studies. *PLoS Med* 2012;9(4):e1001200.
19. Nead KT, Sharp SJ, Thompson DJ, et al. Evidence of a causal association between insulinemia and endometrial cancer: a Mendelian randomization analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2015;107:djv178.
20. Crosbie EJ, Zwahlen M, Kitchener HC, Egger M, Renehan AG. Body mass index, hormone replacement therapy, and endometrial cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2010;19:3119-30.
21. Eliassen AH, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Adult weight change and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. *JAMA* 2006;296:193-201.
22. Teras LR, Kitahara CM, Birmann BM, et al. Body size and multiple myeloma mortality: a pooled analysis of 20 prospective studies. *Br J Haematol* 2014;166:667-76.
23. Niedermaier T, Behrens G, Schmid D, Schlecht I, Fischer B, Leitzmann MF. Body mass index, physical activity, and risk of adult meningioma and glioma: a meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2015;85:1342-50.
24. Kitahara CM, McCullough ML, Franceschi S, et al. Anthropometric factors and thyroid cancer risk by histological subtype: pooled analysis of 22 prospective studies. *Thyroid* 2016;26:306-18.
25. World Cancer Research Fund International. Continuous Update Project report: diet, nutrition, physical activity, and prostate cancer. 2014 (<http://www.wcrf.org/sites/default/files/Prostate-Cancer-2014-Report.pdf>).
26. Patel AV, Diver WR, Teras LR, Birmann BM, Gapstur SM. Body mass index, height and risk of lymphoid neoplasms in a large United States cohort. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2013;54:1221-7.
27. Brinton LA, Cook MB, McCormack V, et al. Anthropometric and hormonal risk factors for male breast cancer: Male Breast Cancer Pooling Project results. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106:djt465.
28. Chlebowski R, Blackburn G, Elashoff R, et al. Mature analysis from the Womens Intervention Nutrition Study (WINS) evaluating dietary fat reduction and breast cancer outcome. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2006;100:Suppl 1:S16.
29. Tee MC, Cao Y, Warnock GL, Hu FB, Chavarro JE. Effect of bariatric surgery on oncologic outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc* 2013;27:4449-56.
30. Cleary MP. Impact of obesity on development and progression of mammary tumors in preclinical models of breast cancer. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2013;18:333-43.
31. Ray A, Cleary MP. Animal models to study the interplay between cancer and obesity. In: Kolomin MG, ed. *Adipose tissue and cancer*. New York: Springer, 2013:99-119.
32. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011;144:646-74.
33. Smith MT, Guyton KZ, Gibbons CF, et al. Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2016;124:713-21.
34. Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004;4:579-91.
35. Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Egger M. Adiposity and cancer risk: new mechanistic insights from epidemiology. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2015;15:484-98.
36. Thompson HJ, Zhu Z, Jiang W. Dietary energy restriction in breast cancer prevention. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2003;8:133-42.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMSr1606602

Copyright © 2016 Massachusetts Medical Society.

RECEIVE IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION WHEN AN ARTICLE
IS PUBLISHED ONLINE FIRST

To be notified by e-mail when *Journal* articles
are published Online First, sign up at NEJM.org.